PREVAILED	Roll Call No
FAILED	Ayes
WITHDRAWN	Noes
RULED OUT OF ORDER	

## **HOUSE MOTION**

## MR. SPEAKER:

I move that Engrossed Senate Bill 374 be amended to read as follows:

1	Page 2, between lines 4 and 5, begin a new paragraph and insert:
2	"SECTION 3. IC 9-13-2-127 IS AMENDED TO READ AS
3	FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2005]: Sec. 127. (a) "Police
4	officer" means, except as provided in subsection (b), the following:
5	(1) A regular member of the state police department.
6	(2) A regular member of a city or town police department.
7	(3) A town marshal or town marshal deputy.
8	(4) A regular member of a county sheriff's department.
9	(5) A conservation officer of the department of natural resources.
10	(b) "Police officer", for purposes of IC 9-19-11-3.9 and IC 9-21,
11	means an officer authorized to direct or regulate traffic or to make
12	arrests for violations of traffic regulations.
13	SECTION 4. IC 9-19-10-3.1 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA
14	CODE AS A <b>NEW</b> SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS
15	[EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2006]: Sec. 3.1. A vehicle may not be
16	stopped, inspected, or detained solely to determine compliance with
17	this chapter.
18	SECTION 5. IC 9-19-10-7 IS AMENDED TO READ AS
19	FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2006]: Sec. 7. (a) Failure to comply
20	with section 1, 2 3, or 4 of this chapter does not may constitute fault
21	under IC 34-51-2. and does not limit the liability of an insurer.
22	(b) Except as provided in subsection (c), Evidence of the failure to
23	comply with section 1, 2 3, or 4 of this chapter may not be admitted in
24	a civil action to mitigate damages, including
25	(c) Evidence of a failure to comply with this chanter may be

MO037401/DI 96+ 2006

admitted in a civil action as to mitigation of damages in a product liability action involving a motor vehicle restraint or supplemental restraint system. The defendant in such an that action has the burden of proving noncompliance with this chapter, and that compliance with this chapter would have reduced injuries, and the extent of the reduction."

Page 2, between lines 26 and 27, begin a new paragraph and insert: "SECTION 7. IC 9-19-11-3.9 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2005]: Sec. 3.9. If a police officer has reasonable suspicion to believe that a violation of this chapter exists, a vehicle may be stopped, inspected, or detained solely in order to determine compliance.

SECTION 8. IC 9-19-11-8 IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2005]: Sec. 8. (a) Failure to comply with section 2, 3, 3.3, or 3.6 of this chapter does not may constitute contributory negligence: fault under IC 34-51-2.

- (b) Evidence of failure to comply with section 2, 3, 3.3, or 3.6 of this chapter may be admitted in a civil action to mitigate damages, including a product liability action involving a safety belt or child restraint system. The defendant in that action has the burden of proving:
  - (1) noncompliance with this chapter;
  - (2) that compliance with this chapter would have reduced injuries; and
  - (3) the extent of the reduction.
- 27 SECTION 9. THE FOLLOWING ARE REPEALED [EFFECTIVE 28 JULY 1, 2006]: IC 9-19-10-3; IC 9-21-13-0.5.".
- Page 2, delete lines 27 through 28.

Renumber all SECTIONS consecutively.

(Reference is to ESB 374 as printed February 21, 2006.)

Representative Torr

MO037401/DI 96+ 2006